

a second optical element for receiving light rays and directing the rays to impinge upon the surface at a position radially or concentrically closer to the lens system than the rays from the ring lens

2. (amended) A luminaire as defined in claim 1 wherein wherein the ring lens is a Fresnel lens said second optical element is a secondary lens and receives light rays from at least a portion of the Fresnel lens.

4. (amended) A luminaire as defined in claim 1 wherein said second optical element is a first reflector that radially distributes light and located above the light source.

6. (amended) A luminaire as defined in claim 2 wherein said second optical element is a radially collimating second Fresnel lens which refracts light rays from the source to impinge upon the surface in an area closer to the lens system than the rays from the first Fresnel lens.

8. (amended) A lighting assembly having a quasi point light source near a surface onto which light rays may impinge, comprising:

two canted lens ring segments at least partially surrounding the light source radially and collimating at least some of the light from the source to impinge upon a surface,

said lenses each having an axis which is at an angle to refract light rays from the source toward the surface.

26. (amended) A lighting assembly, comprising:  
a quasi point light source;  
a radially collimating ring lens only partially surrounding said light source;  
a reflector on the other side of the light source from said ring lens  
arranged to reflect light in the same radial plane as projected by the ring lens.
34. (amended) A lighting assembly, comprising:  
a quasi point light source;  
a reflector assembly, having three reflector sections, one being parabolic  
and projecting a collimated beam and the other two sections being ellipsoidal  
and projecting a combined converging beam, the reflector assembly being  
constructed and arranged to produce a 180 degree in section columnar beam  
having varying divergence and concentric brightness.

#### REMARKS

The Office Action of January 24, 2002 has been reviewed and this is a response to that action.

Certain of the claims have been amended in view of the formal rejections.

Other of the claims have also been amended.

The changes made to the claims are shown in the Claim Attachment hereto.

The rejection and objection to certain claims has been handled by amending such claims.

Claims 1-10 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by